For the Northern District of California

23

24

25

26

27

1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	OAKLAND DIVISION
6	
7	In re JAMES ODLE, No. C 11-2737 PJH (PR)
8	Plaintiff. ORDER OF DISMISSAL
9	
10	This case was opened when plaintiff wrote a letter to the court regarding medical
11	care. In an effort to protect his rights, it was treated as an attempt to file a new case.
12	Plaintiff was informed that he had not filed a complaint and was given thirty days to do so.
13	He also was sent a notice that he had not paid the filing fee or applied for leave to proceed
14	in forma pauperis ("IFP"); again, he was allowed thirty days to either pay the fee or file the
15	application. A copy of the court's form for applications to proceed IFP was provided with
16	the notice, along with a return envelope.
17	No response has been received. This case therefore is DISMISSED without
18	prejudice. No fee is due. The clerk shall close this file.
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.
20	Dated: July 21, 2011. PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
21	United States District Judge
22	

28 P:\PRO-SE\PJH\CR.11\ODLE2737.DSM-IFP.wpd